IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.674 OF 2001
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.4858 OF 1999
IN
S.C.SUIT NO.6040 OF 1999

Shri Chotelal Ramkaran Yadav & Anr. ...Appellants

Versus

The Bombay Municipal Corpn. of
Greater Bombay ...Respondent

ALONG WITH

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.675 OF 2001
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.4750 OF 1998
IN
S.C.SUIT NO.5722 OF 1998

Shri Bechan Mohal Maurya ...Appellant

Versus

The Maharashtra Housing & Area
Development Authority & Anr. ...Respondents

ALONG WITH

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.676 OF 2001
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.7143 OF 1995
IN
S.C.SUIT NO.8083 OF 1995

Shri Chotelal Ramkaran Yadav ...Appellant

Versus

The Bombay Municipal Corpn.
of Greater Bombay ...Respondent

ALONG WITH

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.677 OF 2001
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.7144 OF 1995
IN
S.C.SUIT NO.8083 OF 1995

Shri Jaggu Chouthi Yadav ...Appellant

Versus

The Bombay Municipal Corpn.
of Greater Bombay ...Respondent

.....

None for Appellants.

Mr.Malandkar for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

JANUARY 9, 2006.

P.C.

1. None appears for the Appellants even at the second call. Order-sheet indicates that on 10th October 2005, indulgence was shown to the Appellants to take out appropriate proceedings, as may be advised, to extricate themselves from the liability arising out of the undertaking given to this Court in the previous proceedings.

2. From the Office noting, it appears that no steps have been taken by the Appellants in that behalf so far. As mentioned earlier, neither the Appellants nor their Advocate is present even at the second call. Hence, dismissed for non-prosecution.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.